

1

2 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

3 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

4 WESLIE MARTIN,

Case No. 3:22-cv-00101-LRH-CLB

5 Petitioner,

ORDER

6 v.

7 WILLIAM REUBART, et al.,

8 Respondents.

9 Respondents have filed a motion for extension of time (second request) requesting an
 10 additional four days, up to and including February 14, 2023, to file and serve their answer to
 11 Petitioner Weslie Martin's petition for writ of habeas corpus. (ECF No. 31.) Martin filed a response
 12 on February 14, 2023, indicating that he would be asking the Court to disallow the filing of
 13 Respondents' answer if it was not filed by the end of the day. (ECF No. 33.) Respondents filed their
 14 answer on February 15, 2023, at 12:01 a.m. (ECF No. 32.) Due to the answer being filed 1 minute
 15 late, Respondents also filed a motion for extension of time (third request). (ECF No. 34.) The
 16 Court finds good cause to grant Respondents' motions for extension of time.

17 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Respondents' motion for extension of time (second
 18 request) [ECF No. 31] and motion for extension of time (third request) [ECF No. 34] are granted
 19 *nunc pro tunc*. Respondents' answer (ECF No. 32) is considered timely filed.

20 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Petitioner has up to and including March 17, 2023, to
 21 file a reply to Respondents' answer.

22 DATED THIS 16th day of February 2023.

23 

LARRY R. HICKS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE